



Schedule 5 Information for ADR Bodies

The Alternative Dispute Resolution for Consumer Disputes (Competent Authorities and Information) Regulations 2015, require you to supply the competent authority (Chartered Trading Standards Institute) with your Schedule 5 (annual) report when it is due.

The Schedule 5 report must be supplied every year (including when you also supply your biennial report) and must be made available on your website as per the legislation. The Schedule 5 report must be supplied to the competent authority and uploaded onto the website within a month of the anniversary of your date of approval as an approved ADR body.

All details of what must be included in the reports can be found below.

This is the minimum data required for reporting, but any other or extra data supplied would be much appreciated for further insight.

As of 1st January 2021, there have been several amendments made to the legislation due to Brexit. It is no longer a requirement of the legislation to handle cross-border disputes and report on these disputes. However, if you continue to handle cross-border disputes, we would ask that you supply any information in relation to these cases.

Additionally, if your ADR scheme's outcome is binding on either party, please provide us with the percentage of whether the outcome was ruled in the consumer or trader's favour at the end of the Schedule 5 report.





Schedule 5 - DGCOS - 1st August 2024 to 31st July 2025

Information to be included an ADR entity's annual activity report

(a) the number of domestic disputes the ADR entity has received;

(This is the total number including enquiries received, cases handled and disputes rejected)

No.	No. enquiries	No.	No. disputes	No.	No. disputes
enquiries	received	disputes	received	disputes	accepted
received	(cross-border)	received	(Cross-border)	accepted	(Continued to
(domestic)		(domestic)		(continued	case)
				to case)	(cross-border)
				(domestic)	
56	NA	38	NA	38	NA

(b) the types of complaints to which the domestic disputes and cross-border disputes relate; (please state as many different types as required)

Types of disputes:

- Compensation 1
- Customer service 2
- Finance and Payments 1
- Product 22
- Workmanship 12
- (c) a description of any systematic or significant problems that occur frequently and lead to disputes between consumers and traders of which the ADR entity has become aware due to its operations as an ADR entity;

(please provide description)

Product-related complaints continue to be the main reason customers contact DGCOS. In many cases, these concerns stem from the product not meeting the customer's expectations for performance or appearance, rather than from an actual fault. When genuine product defects do occur, they are covered under the manufacturer's warranty, and DGCOS works to ensure that installers take appropriate action to resolve them.





(d) any recommendations the ADR entity may have as to how the problems referred to in paragraph
 (c) could be avoided or resolved in future, in order to raise traders' standards and to facilitate the exchange of information and best practices;
 (please provide as much information as possible)

DGCOS continues to encourage installers to engage with the ADR team early, before complaints escalate to a formal dispute. This proactive approach helps preserve the relationship between the customer and installer, while ensuring a smoother and more positive experience for the customer.

(e)	the number of disputes which the ADR entity has refused to deal with, and the percentage share
	of the grounds set in paragraph 13 of Schedule 3 on which the ADR entity has declined to
	consider such disputes;

(please provide a breakdown of reasons and a total number)

Total no. of disputes rejected	0

Reason	No. rejected	Percentage of rejected
a) the consumer has not attempted to contact the		
trader first		
b) the dispute was frivolous or vexatious		
c) the dispute had been previously considered by		
another ADR body or the court		
d) the value fell below the monetary value		
e) the consumer did not submit the disputes within the		
time period specified		
f) dealing with the dispute would have impaired the		
operation of the ADR body		
g) other (enquired too early, not yet complained to		
trader, trader not member, advice call etc		





(f) the percentage of alternative dispute resolution procedures which were discontinued for operational reasons and, if known, the reasons for discontinuation; (please provide as many reasons as required)

	No. discontinued	Percentage of discontinued
Discontinued for operational reasons	0	

Reasons for discontinuation:

(g) the average time taken to resolve domestic disputes and cross-border disputes; (please provide the average time from receipt of complaint to closure, AND the average time from complete complaint file to closure)

	Domestic	Cross-border
Average time taken to resolve disputes	2 days (1st stage days 1-	NA
(from receipt of complaint)	8)	
Average time taken to resolve disputes	15 days (2 nd Stage)	NA
(from 'complete complaint file')		

(h) the rate of compliance, if known, with the outcomes the alternative dispute resolution procedures (amongst your members, or those you provide ADR for) (this is the number of traders who complied with the proposed outcome. Please provide a percentage)

We do not actively measure or monitor this aspect. However, it is worth noting that instances where consumers return due to non-adherence to the agreed-upon terms are exceptionally rare.

(i) This point has been removed in amendments on 1 January 2021

Please add any additional information or data you think might be useful or interesting at the bottom of this report.

(any extra data provided is useful)

The Schemes underwent their ISO 9001 surveillance audit on 28th July 2025 and passed with no non-conformities. They have successfully maintained this accreditation for two years.